1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	JEREMY PUTNAM BAKKE,	
8	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C15-5713BHS
9	v.	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
10	CLARK COUNTY JAIL, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R")	
14	of the Honorable David W. Christel, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 34), and	
15	Plaintiff Jeremy Bakke's ("Bakke") objections to the R&R (Dkt. 37).	
16	On July 21, 2016, Judge Christel filed the R&R recommending that the Court	
17	deny Bakke's motion for default because Defendants have answered and are actively	
18	defending against the complaint. Dkt. 34. On August 3, 2016, Bakke filed objections.	
19	Dkt. 37.	
20	The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's	
21	disposition that has been properly objected to. The district judge may accept, reject, or	
22		

modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). 2 In this case, the Court agrees with Judge Christel that Bakke's motion should be 3 4 denied. Although Defendants may have answered late, at the time Bakke filed his motion, Defendants had answered and were actively defending. Therefore, the Court 5 having considered the R&R, Bakke's objections, and the remaining record, does hereby 6 7 find and order as follows: 8 (1) The R&R is **ADOPTED**; and 9 (2) Bakke's motion for default is **DENIED**. Dated this 6th day of September, 2016. 10 11 12 13 United States District Judge 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22